When Safety Stops Selling: Rethinking the Strategic Foundations of Gulf Education Hubs

Michael Grantham

Gulf education hubs have built international reputations partly on the implicit promise of regional safety. This article argues that this foundation is strategically fragile. As recent geopolitical developments, culminating in direct regional disruption in 2026, reshape the operating environment, Gulf institutions face a critical pivot: from safety-dependent positioning toward resilience-centered strategy. This requires addressing the distinctive challenges of sovereign-funded models, expatriate-dependent student markets, and exposure to regional volatility.

There is a phrase that rarely appears in official prospectuses but circulates freely in recruitment conversations surrounding higher education in Gulf Cooperation Council states: *study internationally without leaving the region*. In fewer than 10 words, it promises global credentials, cultural proximity and, importantly, a sense of personal safety. For students from politically fragile states across the Middle East, South Asia, and the Horn of Africa, and for families weighing the anxieties of sending a child thousands of miles from home, this combination has proven deeply persuasive.

Enrollment figures reflect that appeal. Dubai alone now hosts more than 35 international branch campuses from over 10 countries, with total enrollment reaching a record 42,026 students in the 2024–25 academic year: a 20-percent increase, with international student enrollment up 29 percent. Qatar's Education City houses seven partner universities, including Carnegie Mellon, Georgetown, and Northwestern, enrolling over 3,500 students from more than 50 countries. Safety rarely appeared explicitly in marketing materials, but it did not need to. It was embedded in the structural logic of the value proposition: low crime rates, political stability, modern infrastructure, and exceptional connectivity offered a combination few regional competitors could match.

These dynamics are not unique to the Gulf. From Singapore to Malaysia and Kazakhstan, governments investing in education hubs have relied on place-based branding to attract international students. But recent events in the Middle East have made the Gulf case the most urgent test of a broader question: how resilient are education hub strategies when geopolitical stability can no longer be assumed?

The Geopolitical Backdrop

The US/Israel-Iran conflict is reshaping strategic calculations across the Gulf in real time. What began with the Israeli military campaign in Gaza in October 2023 escalated through successive phases of regional instability: Houthi disruptions of Red Sea shipping, cross-border strikes, and ultimately direct military confrontation involving Iran and the Gulf states themselves in early 2026. Civilian airports were hit. Gulf airspace closed. Schools moved to remote learning. The decades-old assumption that Gulf cities could remain insulated from regional conflict, the very assumption on which education hub branding has quietly relied, was not gradually eroded. It was shattered within days.

The implications for higher education are immediate. In February 2024, the Texas A&M Board of Regents voted to close its Qatar campus by 2028, citing regional instability. At the time, the decision looked cautious. In hindsight, it looks prescient. When geopolitical conditions shift, institutional commitments can be withdrawn, rapidly and unilaterally. For education hub models built on attracting and retaining international partners, the message is now unmistakable: safety branding is not a strategy. It is a bet.

Three Structural Vulnerabilities

The fragility of safety-based positioning becomes most visible through three structural vulnerabilities that shape the Gulf education hub model. First, perception is inherently volatile, and international student mobility is shaped less by objective risk than by perceived risk. International audiences frequently treat the broader Middle East as a single risk landscape, which means that a crisis in one country erodes confidence in neighboring states that remain entirely unaffected.

Second, transnational education depends on seamless international mobility, but the COVID-19 pandemic demonstrated how rapidly mobility infrastructure can collapse. Gulf education hubs are particularly exposed. In Dubai, 35 percent of private university enrollment is international, drawn primarily from India, Russia, Pakistan, and Kazakhstan. Any disruption to flights, visas, or border crossings therefore threatens the operating model itself.

Finally, the Gulf no longer competes only against traditional Western destinations. India has approved over 15 foreign

CC BY NC ND

university campuses since 2023, with the University of Southampton, Deakin University, and Illinois Institute of Technology already operational or launching. Malaysia, South Korea, Japan, and several Central Asian states are also all positioning themselves as education destinations with lower tuition and more flexible visa regimes. If the Gulf's implicit safety advantage erodes, the competitive landscape shifts decisively.

Resilience in the Gulf Context

While safety is an environmental condition, resilience is an institutional capability. The argument here is not that safety is unimportant but that it is insufficient as a long-term strategic foundation. Gulf education hubs need a deliberate pivot toward resilience, but resilience in this context looks different from anywhere else in the world.

This is partially the result of its unique sovereign funding model. Gulf education hubs operate under models that have no parallel in Singapore or Malaysia. Qatar Foundation's Education City is funded almost entirely by sovereign wealth, with the foundation building facilities, covering operating costs, and subsidizing partnerships. Dubai's free-zone model offers regulatory autonomy and infrastructure, underwritten by state-linked entities. This creates both an advantage and a vulnerability: institutions enjoy resources that market-driven models elsewhere cannot match, but they also depend on a single funder whose priorities may shift with geopolitical circumstances. Building resilience here means diversifying revenue streams and deepening institutional autonomy so that academic continuity does not depend entirely on the strategic calculations of a sovereign patron.

The student market is also qualitatively different than in other education hubs (e.g., in East Asia). Unlike hubs which draw primarily from mobile international students, a substantial proportion of Gulf enrollment comes from expatriate communities already resident in the region. In Qatar's Education City, roughly half the student body is Qatari and a quarter are long-term expatriate residents. In Dubai, the largest source country for international students is India, reflecting the demographics of the resident workforce of the United Arab Emirates. This means that Gulf education hubs are uniquely exposed to shifts in labor market policy: if expatriate populations contract due to economic downturns or nationalization policies, the student pipeline contracts with them.

The institutions that weathered the pandemic most effectively were not those that improvised fastest but those that had already invested in digital delivery capacity. For Gulf education hubs, the challenge is more specific: they must build hybrid infrastructure capable of sustaining degree programs through not only health crises, but also the kinds of regional security disruptions that are particular to the Middle Eastern operating environment. The airspace closures and mobility disruptions of early 2026 are not aberrations; they are the operating conditions for which Gulf institutions must now plan. If delivery shifts online, students may increasingly question the value of remaining enrolled through a regional branch campus rather than studying directly with the home institution. The ability to say credibly that a degree will not be interrupted is therefore a far more robust selling point than the implicit claim that nothing disruptive will ever happen.

A Harder Promise

One path forward is to continue marketing perceived stability. This will yield results for as long as external conditions cooperate. The other path is more demanding but more durable: repositioning around the proposition that Gulf institutions can deliver reliable, high-quality education regardless of what happens around them. This is a harder promise to make, but also a harder one to break.

For governments investing billions in education hub strategies, from the Gulf to Southeast Asia and Central Asia, the implication is clear: long-term competitiveness will depend less on perceived stability and more on institutional systems capable of sustaining education under conditions of global uncertainty. The events of early 2026 have made the case more forcefully than any policy paper could. The safety narrative is no longer a sufficient strategic foundation; it may increasingly become a liability.

Michael Grantham is a faculty member at the College of Business and Economics, United Arab Emirates University, Al Ain, United Arab Emirates. Email: mgrantham@uaeu.ac.ae