velopment of critical thinking and values, for interdisciplinary studies for all, for campus environment, for service and immersion experiences, for community.

Our mission today clearly has implications, too, for staffing. It is obvious, and has been obvious for many years, that our educational institutions could not survive without the presence and assistance of many dedicated lay people. We have been blessed by God with many lay people who have shared our vision and our principles, and have worked in our institutions with real dedication. As time goes on, however, we need to do more—in the selection of professors, administrative staff and members of Boards, and especially in ongoing formation for both Jesuits and lay people in order to create an educational community united in mission. All too often we have seen cases where new lay colleagues are welcomed into Jesuit faculties solely on the basis of academic or other professional credentials. Unless there is a prior clarity concerning a statement of the mission of the institution, and a prior acceptance and commitment to foster this mission, it seems unrealistic to expect that we can hope for an institution to continue “in the Ignatian tradition.” And growth in understanding and commitment needs to be cultivated through faculty seminars, discussions and the like, as well as through individual conversations and friendships. Clearly, opportunities for closer involvement in sharing in the spirit and mission of the institution should be offered through colloquia, retreats, and liturgies for those who are open to and desirous of them. This is not a case of too few Jesuits needing to seduce the laity into acting like Jesuits. That thinking is not worthy of us. Rather the many views of all members of the higher education community who follow Ignatius with their own perspectives must come together to affect the university’s life and developing Ignatian tradition.

In briefly describing some issues that confront us, it becomes clear that the challenge Jesuit universities face is not easy. But it has always been a hallmark of the Jesuit tradition that we work at the growing edge of human and apostolic developments. This is rooted in the motto of the Society of Jesus, which rejects complacency and mediocrity. It calls us all to work for others to the greater glory of God, Ad Majorem Dei Gloriam.
country have seen a decline in applicants stemming last year, and a number of national and public institutions as well are suffering from a similar decline. With a decline in student population, universities are having difficulty meeting rising personnel costs without raising tuition. And raising the already high tuition costs discourages students from applying, especially in these times of continuing recession. This vicious circle is the biggest headache within higher education today.

Jesuit institutions of higher education in Japan are responding to the same challenges and developments in Japanese society, but also to added problems related to the effort to maintain a Catholic and specifically Jesuit identity. For instance, the average age of Jesuit faculty members teaching at Sophia University has been rising steadily, and this spring nine more Jesuit educators have reached the mandatory retirement age of 70. This leaves a total of 70 Jesuits teaching in the seven faculties of the university, with a student population of 10,500 undergraduates and 1,000 graduate students. As the number of teaching Jesuits slowly declines, the problem of preserving the Jesuit identity of the university becomes more and more challenging. A question of priorities becomes more pressing, as Jesuits connected with the university try to make decisions as to which faculties should receive special focus when considering replacements for the dwindling Jesuit manpower. In a related problem, up until now foreign languages and foreign literatures have been among the university’s strongest areas, and ever since Sophia’s founding in 1913, Jesuits of many different nationalities have been the native speakers and experts providing the backbone for these disciplines. Professors in these fields can certainly be found as replacements, but how to maintain a Jesuit presence is rapidly becoming a critical problem.

The question mentioned above about whether to emphasize a strong program of graduate research or undergraduate education is currently being discussed at Sophia University also. Traditionally, Sophia has provided a high level of graduate programs, with doctoral studies in 24 disciplines. Maintaining this tradition while at the same time continuing a solid undergraduate program that provides not only general and specialized education but a formation according to the ideals of Christian humanism is not a simple task. As Jesuit numbers decrease, it becomes more difficult.

One of the attractive features of Sophia University has traditionally been its low student-teacher ratio, which compares favorably with other Japanese universities. If the financial crisis mentioned above continues, it will become more and more difficult to preserve a ratio favorable to the students, without a considerable rise in tuition fees.

In order to continue as a specifically Catholic university, some have urged that Sophia should allow special admittance for students from Catholic high schools, but this suggestion is rather controversial. Already a special recommendation system has been established with the four Jesuit high schools in Japan, and this system has not always met with the unanimous approval of all faculty members.

There is one area in which Sophia University, among all the national, public, or private universities in Japan, stands in the most advantageous position to meet the needs of the times as outlined by the National Council on Educational Reform. That is the area of internationalization. Sophia has in any given year, 750 non-Japanese students from more than 60 nationalities studying on its campuses, and 107 foreign teachers from 22 countries. Sophia students studying abroad on exchange programs usually number about 150 per year, and that number is growing. One campus of the university houses the Faculty of Comparative Culture, where all courses are taught in English for the benefit of foreign students not yet fluent in the Japanese language.

It is still too early to tell what concrete reforms will be put into effect to meet the current challenges facing Japanese higher education as we reach the end of the 20th century. We can hope, however, that Jesuit educators in Japan will continue to work together with their colleagues in the university community to read the signs of the times and come up with innovations that reflect the tradition of Jesuit education throughout the world.

---
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The Challenge to Education (1985), a document produced by the Ministry of Education of the Indian Government states that “the whole process of higher education has become warped, disoriented and dysfunctional, producing a number of unemployable young men and women.” It may appear odd to quote this as an opening statement of an essay on India’s higher education system, but there is no other objective way of introducing the subject. The statement and what follows refer to postsecondary college and university systems, and excludes nonuniversity and professional institutions of higher education. In India, higher education is faced with deteriorating conditions resulting from expansion and worsened by an affiliation system and shrinking resources. Lately, these and other issues, such as declining quality, inadequate facilities, and a mismatch between education and human power requirements, have become crucial themes in current social and political debate. However, this is nothing new—a number of edu-