powers and university title are met. In Nigeria, online degrees from unaccredited institutions are banned and employers are not supposed to accept fraudulent degrees. In Australia, the term “university” is protected.

International Action
The recent focus on degree mills accompanies work on academic quality as higher education is increasingly internationalized. In Study Abroad, UNESCO published the CHEA Fact Sheet on degree mills and accreditation mills developed in 2003 as part of its alerts to this phenomenon. UNESCO and the Organization of Economic Cooperation and Development issued Guidelines for Quality Provision of Cross-Border Higher Education in 2005. The Guidelines suggest tasks for the various stakeholders in higher education—to protect quality for cross-border higher education provision to safeguard against degree mills. UNESCO has also recently launched a pilot, Portal on Higher Education Institutions, that provides international access to reliable countrywide lists of legitimate higher education providers (http://www.unesco.org/education/portal/hed-institutions). This positive listing makes it clear that institutions that are not included may be suspect.

The international group brought together by CHEA and UNESCO is working on an international effective practices statement to address the problem of degree mills. The group is also exploring additional strategies such as whether a permanent international effort is needed to address rogue providers and the feasibility of an ongoing international campaign to raise public awareness.

This international effort is an ongoing need. Degree mills will continue to be a problem for students, employers, government, and higher education. They put a vital resource of our countries at risk—namely, our extensive, diverse, and highly effective higher education enterprise and the students who are served.

When Criminals Control the Ministry of Education
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The connection between education and personal economic advantage drives a global market for higher education. But much of the world cannot create additional university capacity at a rate to match this demand. Diploma mills, businesses that sell bogus degrees to customers in search of easy credentials, comprise the dark response to these market forces. The recent demise of a sophisticated American diploma mill provides some insight into these abominations.

Paying Bribes to Great Effect
In 2002, Richard Novak traveled to Washington DC to bribe a diplomat. Perhaps his experience as a car salesman in Arizona served him well: he convinced Abdulah Dunbar, the Liberian embassy’s deputy chief of mission, to sell Liberian university accreditation to “St. Regis University” for $2,250, considerably less than Dunbar’s original demand for $4,000. This first transaction opened a conduit through which Dixie and Steve Randock, the American owners of the St. Regis diploma mill, began channeling payments and incentives to Liberian officials.

At that time Liberia was still a year from the end of its bloody civil war. Mean life expectancy was 38 years, and infant mortality was 15 percent. Much of Liberia’s infrastructure had been destroyed. Into this desperate landscape the Randocks pretended to insert three universities: St. Regis, Robertstown, and James Monroe. Their Web sites invited customers to contact Dunbar in Washington or Andrew Kronyanh at Liberia’s embassy in Ghana, for verification of the schools’ legitimacy. All three mills claimed to be in Monrovia; a doctored campus photograph showed a beautiful building in a pastoral setting. But this was really Blenheim Palace, birthplace of the very English Winston Churchill.

Hijacking the Ministry of Education
Dunbar was dismissed from the Liberian embassy in June 2003, complicating his task of vouching for St. Regis. The Randocks sent Novak and Dunbar to Africa two months later “with the specific intent to carry out the appropriate tasks placing [Dunbar] into the appropriate Liberian political office.” The Randocks successfully achieved their ends: Dunbar was returned to Washington a few months later as the embassy’s chief of mission.

By the end of 2003 the Randocks had come to control the Ministry of Education’s list of recognized colleges and universities, as well as the content of the Liberian embassy’s Web site. Through their officially sanctioned “National Board of Education,” they sold Liberian accreditation directly to other diploma mills such as “Southern Pacific University” and “American Coastline University.” Liberian officials under their
sware included senior diplomats in at least two embassies, a
minister of justice, a foreign minister, two successive directors
of Liberia’s National Commission for Higher Education, and a
number of other diplomats and government officials, includ-
ing several at the Ministry of Education.

**You Too Can Own a University!**
The following year the Randocks expanded their product line
to include prefabricated diploma mills, pitching them this way:
“Do you want to make MILLIONS by owning your own online
school, college or university? . . . OUR EXPERTS CAN HELP
YOU DO IT!” They informed prospective customers that their
“expert consultants have established long term relationships
with the proper authorities, which will substantially shorten
the time it would normally take to establish a new school or
to gain legitimate accreditation [for] your existing school.”
In July 2005, Richard Novak flew to Washington DC to meet
with three investors interested in purchasing accreditation and
other services for “Randolph Addison Davis Technical
University.” Four hours into the meeting Abdulah Dunbar (the
“proper authority,” no doubt) arrived to discuss his fee for serv-
ces to be rendered. But the investors were actually United
States Secret Service agents and RADTU was a ruse.

**The End of St. Regis**
A month later federal, state, and local authorities raided the St.
Regis enterprise, confiscating computers and degree-making
paraphernalia at seven sites in Arizona, Idaho, and
Washington state. By then the Randocks had sold thousands of
degrees to customers all over the world. They had even appro-
priated the name “Thomas Carper” (a US senator from the
state of Delaware) for the president of St. Regis. And the min-
isterial recognition of many of the “universities” whose names
the Randocks printed on diplomas was functionally equivalent
to that of the legitimate University of Liberia.

Richard Novak, the Randocks, and five other defendants
were indicted in October 2005 on a mix of criminal charges
that included mail and wire fraud, money laundering, and
bribery of foreign officials. Kenneth Pearson, the St. Regis Web
master, was indicted on additional child pornography charges
a few months later: the St. Regis servers also held thousands of
pornographic images. All eight defendants chose to plead
guilty, the last in April 2008, rather than face a jury trial.

Degree-granting authority does not guarantee academic
legitimacy. Was St. Regis a legitimate university? Of course
not. No classes were taught and none of the Randocks’ employ-
ees who fabricated transcripts and diplomas for customers had
finished high school. The fact that the Randocks had pur-
chased “accreditation” from the same officials who would issue
recognition to genuine Liberian universities cannot change
this.

But did their Liberian recognition actually invest them with
the legal authority to award degrees? Again, the answer is no,
since the Randocks sold degrees from Washington state and
Idaho (rather than Liberia) and had never been licensed by
either Washington or Idaho. The situation would have become
more complicated if St. Regis had relocated its servers and
administrative infrastructure to Liberia or Ghana. It still would
have been a diploma mill, but it might have been able to oper-
ate in compliance with Liberian law.

**Possession of degree-granting authority issued by an
appropriate government agency is a necessary, but
not sufficient, condition for a school to be legiti-
mate.**

We have a problem of similar shape in the United States,
where degree-granting authority stems from individual states
with varying standards. For example, Alabama’s Department
of Postsecondary Education sometimes issues private school
operating licenses without adequate attention to the practices
of those schools. One organization with such a license claimed
“accreditation” from an accreditation mill run by the school’s
owner; his accreditation mill also issued credentials to bogus
medical schools. A few years before obtaining an Alabama
license, he had partnered with the Randocks’ organization so
that his clients would receive diplomas from his school and
also from St. Regis.

Possession of degree-granting authority issued by an appro-
priate government agency is a necessary, but not sufficient,
condition for a school to be legitimate. Any international agree-
ment that governs recognition of academic degrees across bor-
ders must be robust to problems arising from the distinction
between legitimacy and legal compliance.

This is not a simple, static issue. The changing circum-
cstances that can threaten to destabilize countries such as Chad
and the Central African Republic make their governments tar-
gets for the operators of diploma mills. And the pressing need
for increased higher education capacity in the developing
world creates a market that is hugely attractive to diploma
mills. It will be the task of the international higher education
community to develop the vetting and database tools necessary
to address this.