Charism and the Literary Imagination

Paul Mariani

If by “charism” we mean a gift of God to be shared for the good of all, then Catholic universities ought to have particular interest in sharing a charism of Catholic literary imagination, as manifest in scores of works of artistic genius. The author reflects on his own intellectual and aesthetic formation, and laments that many institutions have displaced works of artistic genius and relegated them to an ancillary role. The author’s response has been the development of a course that leads students through encounters with the Catholic sacramental imagination in the arts.

1. A statement as to the modern basis of poetic form (informal)
2. Read some illustrative verses
3. Spanish (Portuguese) and American-English. Our profitable interrelationship in developing a new poetic form: EXAMPLE—Lope de Vega vs. Shakespeare as a model (form) for America: What we might profit, etc.

Preamble: The difficulty is to keep such a talk as this informal. After all, there is no great point at issue. We are here for the most part to look at each other, to recognize in each other—that curious complexity called a writer [Catholic Christian], to encourage and to learn. But most to try to find a means, through the art [charism] which we practice, to communicate with each other—for what may come of it.

William Carlos Williams, Porto Rico Talk, April 16, 1941

True painting is only the image of the perfection of God, a shadow of the pencil with which he paints, a melody, a striving after harmony.

Michelangelo
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Introduction
The challenge here is to speak of a charism associated with the literary imagination and to speak of both charism and the literary imagination in a way that will be relevant to a twenty-first-century audience. The host here is understood to be the Catholic university, and so understanding the host—who sets the table and invites everyone to share at the table—will mean understanding who we are and then the wider international and diverse audience whom we are addressing.

What concrete suggestions can we offer to others in terms of making the Catholic literary imagination available at our own Catholic universities?

First: How shall we understand the concept of charism? And is it the word we want in the context of a Catholic Roundtable such as this one?
Second: How do we define who we are as hosts?
Third: How do we define the guests—the other—whom we primarily intend to address?
Fourth: How or in what ways shall we define the literary imagination and, more specifically, the literary imagination as it interacts with what we call the Catholic Christian tradition?

And fifth: Picking up on the idea of what Professor Aurelie Hagstrom calls the charism of “intellectual hospitality,” what concrete suggestions can we offer to others in terms of making the Catholic literary imagination available at our own Catholic universities and colleges, and, by extension, to others who might also be interested in such a dialogic interchange—or who might benefit from being introduced, first of all, to the reality of such a tradition and then, beyond that, to the dynamic possibilities such a reality possesses?

As Professor Hagstrom reminds us, “when we welcome others, we welcome God, and in welcoming God we are participating in God’s reconciling love for the world, manifest in God’s Triune nature.” By Triune nature, I am reminded of the eternal interchange between the Creator God (or Father), the Son, and the Holy Spirit, and of the Love manifested in that exchange. For myself, and for others here, I would think that welcoming the other, while at the same time maintaining our own distinctive personhood as the host, would be our special charism, our mission as a Catholic university. In short, I am speaking of that respectful, vibrant, ongoing exchange which brings us greater clarity and understanding of each other as we pursue the larger truths at the heart of the matter.

These are some of the questions which have daunted me ever since I walked into a world of theological and ontological speculation where even angels might fear to tread. To be frank, when I began teaching rhetoric and style and then literature and poetry, I had no idea that I would someday be in dialogue with so many others—many of them theologians—concerning such fundamental issues as the various charisms that together make up the idea of a Catholic university. The fact is that the subject is so vast and so nuanced at the same time that it is important to pay close attention as we listen to each other wrestling with these issues.

Charism and the University in Modernity
Let’s begin then by examining the idea of charism, one definition of which would seem to be a spiritual gift that helps define what one discerns one has been called to do in entering into the Mystery of Creation. “Everyone,” St. Paul tells us, “has his or her proper gift [charisma] from God; one after this manner, and another after that” (1 Corinthians 7:7). Charisma is also the theological term for denoting extraordinary graces given by the Holy Spirit to individual Christians for the good of others. Let’s repeat that: a special gift given by the Holy Spirit to an individual to be used for the good of others. St. Paul also speaks of the necessity of saying what one feels moved to say, and then of having two or three or more others discern what has been said so that what the speaker has shared should have

Our shared mission means understanding how each of our voices shares in and adds to the available stock of what we see as the central mystery of existence.

the greatest salutary effect on the rest of the community (1 Corinthians 14:27).

And since I know that I do not possess the special intellectual charism, say, of St. Thomas Aquinas, to synthesize a reality I only dimly understand, being all too often another conflicted citizen in our own postmodern, perhaps post-everything historical moment, I will have to examine the question of the Catholic literary imagination in a way that makes sense to me, and I hope will resonate with many of you as well. And because what all of us assembled here this weekend share is our Catholic faith and—by extension—the desire to share the knowledge and experience imbued by that faith tradition, we see the issues involved through a staggering multitude of intellectual and personal lenses, each having its own particular language, a language which in large part also identifies who we are in our role as hosts.

We can begin, then, by acknowledging a shared sense of what has brought us together for this series of Roundtable discussions, where various theologians, political scientists, biologists, physicists, economists, psychologists, historians, and those involved in literature enjoy a shared sense of understanding, and by extension, of mission. What is

involved in that endeavor, it seems, is the willingness to listen to the other, but also to exchange, clarify, and, yes, expand what we understand to be our own special charisms. And that means understanding how each of our voices shares in and adds to the available stock of what we see as the central mystery of existence. For us, this will mean a continued prayerful discernment to better understand the gifts we have been given and, because that is what Love does, to share those gifts gladly with the others at our table.

I see myself as fully engaged in the creative work of the imagination as a poet, a biographer, a memoirist, a critic, and a scholar, as well as someone who has been teaching at the university level for the past fifty years. I was first introduced to the Catholic literary imagination as a young man. After years in a Catholic parish and high school, I spent four years, between 1958 and 1962, at Manhattan College in the Riverdale section of the Bronx, a Catholic college run by the LaSalle Christian Brothers. It was there that, after first considering majoring in psychology and then in Medieval philosophy, I opted at the last moment for English and American Studies, my real loves, taking a total of 156 credits in theology and the humanities, including Latin and Greek. I simply could not get enough of the knowledge that was being offered me, and I spent every extra dollar I had on cheap paperbacks of everything from Plato and Aristotle to Piers Plowman and the Church fathers.

Those classes at Manhattan, focused around the Western Tradition, were then and have remained the key to my understanding of the literary tradition and gave me a glimpse of what the human imagination is capable of.

What Manhattan College gave me was a knowledge and a freedom which my parents, products of the Depression, never had, and which I vowed even then to pass on to others—a visionary synthesis of the Great Books knowledge that I have seen fade and crumble with time, to be replaced too often with the chatter of critical languages which usually enjoy a shelf life of a dozen or so years before they themselves are morphed into the latest and greatest new knowledge ever to be packaged.

What I was offered fifty years ago was four years of cornerstone courses in the Western tradition, beginning with the Egyptians and Babylonians, on through the Greeks and Romans, then on to the Middle Ages, the Renaissance, the Reformation and Counter-Reformation, the Rationalists of the Enlightenment, European Romanticism, the American Experience, and the twentieth century, including World Wars I and II.

Each semester we took four related courses in philosophy, history, literature, and the arts (music, architecture, sculpture, and painting). Nights I worked at my father’s gas station, pumping gas, and when that failed, I shredded highly classified government documents in a locked room in some apartment complex, or stacked shelves in two branches of the A&P from six to eleven, after which I listened to Mozart, Beethoven, and Rimsky-Korsakov on an old 78 rpm Victrola. Those classes at Manhattan, focused around the Western Tradition, were then and have remained the key to my understanding of the literary tradition and—as if I had looked up at the stars without fully understanding it at the time—gave me a glimpse of what the human imagination is capable of.

After that I went on to Colgate University for my master’s in English, taking courses in everything from the Jacobean playwrights to Edmund Burke and Gibbon and Jane Austen, as well as Ralph Waldo Emerson, Thomas Carlyle, E. A. Robinson, and Robert Frost, and meeting writers as diverse as Robert Creeley and Michael Harper and Isaac Bashevis Singer. And then four years at the Graduate Center of the City University, where I found my ideal mentor in Allen Mandelbaum, translator of Virgil and Dante and Ovid and Homer, as well as of Ungaretti, Montale, Quasimodo, and Giudici.

Of course, there were and are and always will be gaps in one’s education, no matter how much one tries to fill them in, for each subject as it is examined seems to widen and deepen into a galaxy of its own, and, inevitably, within that galaxy, other galaxies begin to reveal themselves. I know too that what I constructed over those four years was only a skein of what constitutes the ever-expanding realities of our multivalent worlds. For one thing, as the 1960s made clearer as they unfolded, I came to see how relatively few women had been incorporated into the Western tradition I’d been offered at an all-men’s college, as well as how little there was of Asian, Middle Eastern, African, Latin American, as well as African-American, Asian-American, and Hispanic-American history and culture included in my courses. The result was that not fewer and fewer, but more and more questions arose over the years as to how these multivalent voices were to be incorporated into a core curriculum that by most standards was already overflowing. New balances would have to be created, new knowledges, new thresholds, new anatomies discovered.

Less than a decade after I graduated from Manhattan, the Great Books Core there had been revised, redirected, deboned, filleted, and abandoned. I learned later—being too busy at the time—that most students felt they’d been inundated with too many one- and two- and three-credit courses in order to include St. Anselm and Abelard, or Bach and Mozart, or Fra Angelico or the Post-Expressionists. Then, too, there was the question of relevance, which bubbled to the surface or came as the necessary aftershocks of America’s involvement in Vietnam and the civil rights movement and women’s rights.

“Freedom” to choose one’s electives, the freedom to express oneself, the distrust of anyone over thirty: all of these almost overnight became the new norm, though—since I’d already left the safety of the academic airport a decade earlier, even as it was being encircled as Dien Bien Phu and Khe San and Columbia University and, later, Saigon would be surrounded—I continued with my pre-1962 dream of filling in the gaps in my education with graduate studies and teaching and my own scholarship for the next six or more decades.
“Let a thousand flowers bloom,” I remember one of my white-haired senior colleagues at the University of Massachusetts mumbling over and over back in the 1970s, as the old core unwound and was replaced with newer, more flexible cores. Of course, when he said it, it was with a mixture of disdain and despair as even the basic Core that had replaced the earlier, more detailed one came under withering fire and dismemberment, again to be replaced by exemptions in the humanities and English for football players and other athletic icons and a barrage of electives for everyone that took the place of a center which no longer seemed to be able to hold itself together.

There were, of course, many reasons for this turnaround, both by the students, for whom the new chant was relevance, relevance, and more relevance, and by the professors themselves, who were eager to teach their specialties wherever possible, especially in an age of “publish or perish.” Another catchword then, as now, was diversity, or the necessary inclusion of many of those voices which had until then been excluded or overlooked in the cultural demographics of the time. Some experiments which incorporated the new voices fared well and grew in a time of radical experimentation, while others faded or overnight were dropped from the curriculum altogether, among the casualties being not only the new experiments but many of the traditional courses as well.

For thirty-two years, from 1968 until 2000, I taught at the University of Massachusetts, and then, approaching my sixtieth birthday, I decided that if change was in the landscape, I was going to have to act, and soon. And so, after an eight-day spring retreat with the Jesuits in March of 1999, I decided—or God decided for me—that I should teach at Boston College. Which made sense, of course, for though I had never been on the Boston College campus, this change would enable me to work within a viable Catholic and Jesuit literary tradition.

And while I have seen many strong signs of a Catholic charism at Boston College, especially in the fields of theology, philosophy, and history—to name just three—I did not find it flourishing in my own field of English literature. Perhaps I was naïve to expect it, as if I had thought I might find Fr. Bing Crosby walking across campus and singing to himself while the Bells of St. Mary’s chimed in the spring air.

What I have found, ironically, among many Catholic institutions of higher learning is that, while the campuses indeed invite the other with enthusiasm to sit at the table, the table itself, as far as the Catholic Christian literary tradition goes, has often been stripped almost bare.
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For thirty-two years, from 1968 until 2000, I taught at the University of Massachusetts, and then, approaching my sixtieth birthday, I decided that if change was in the landscape, I was going to have to act, and soon. And so, after an eight-day spring retreat with the Jesuits in March of 1999, I decided—or God decided for me—that I should teach at Boston College. Which made sense, of course, for though I had never been on the Boston College campus, this change would enable me to work within a viable Catholic and Jesuit literary tradition.

And while I have seen many strong signs of a Catholic charism at Boston College, especially in the fields of theology, philosophy, and history—to name just three—I did not find it flourishing in my own field of English literature. Perhaps I was naïve to expect it, as if I had thought I might find Fr. Bing Crosby walking across campus and singing to himself while the Bells of St. Mary’s chimed in the spring air.

What I have found, ironically, among many Catholic institutions of higher learning is that, while the campuses indeed invite the other with enthusiasm to sit at the table, the table itself, as far as the Catholic Christian literary tradition goes, has often been stripped almost bare, and the idea of a Catholic Christian literary imagination relegated more and more to an ancillary position, until it seems to have virtually disappeared. Which is too bad, really, because topics like the sacramental understanding of the world in terms of beauty and justice are surely worthy of our consideration, especially in a time when images of death and broken bodies and random acts of violence inundate our music and dance halls and movie theaters and television screens so that they have become the new normal, where ideas like mercy and love and forgiveness and commitment to the other often give rise to feelings of embarrassment.

So, while a university like Boston College has certainly been willing to host the stranger, the host itself seems to have become the stranger, too often marginalized or at best barely tolerated, or held in suspicion or simply ignored as long as the host’s largesse continues to flow. “One cannot (or should not) ignore those who profess a faith different from Catholic Christianity,” Chester Gillis rightly reminds us. “Welcoming and getting to know the religiously other while in college prepares Catholic students for the world that they will enter upon graduation.” And Catholic universities, especially Jesuit centers of higher learning, have earned a solid reputation for hosting and welcoming students and faculty from many religious and even non-religious traditions by encouraging and providing “opportunities for interreligious dialogue.”

And that is true enough. But for me the problem remains that, whereas non-Catholic and secular universities have often hosted a Catholic Studies program on their campus, this is not always the case at many of our Catholic universities.

Why is this? And why has this been allowed to happen?

Catholicism and the Literary Imagination

Most members of a university would be willing to admit that there’s a profound richness to the Catholic Artistic and Literary Tradition. Consider, for example, on the popular level, Fr. Robert Barron’s engrossing video series, Catholicism. But so much of that Catholic literary tradition goes untapped here at our own Catholic universities. Even in a time of multi pluralisms, this tradition, which has undergirded our Catholic universities for seven hundred years, is frequently ignored, even as an option or elective to be offered to our students.

Is it because this extraordinary tradition is too often taken as an historical museum piece or perhaps even as an embarrassment? Have we indeed moved into a post-Catholic-university phase where the mission of the Catholic university to support, on some level, a Catholic Christian literary tradition is simply ignored? When I look at our own Catholic Studies program here, I see that of the half dozen or so courses in literature with a Catholic literary component offered over the past decade, only one is still offered, and that of the four professors of English who taught these courses, two are retired, one is deceased, and one is left like Ishmael to tell the story.

2. Chester Gillis, “Welcoming the Religiously Other to a Catholic University,” Integritas 1.3 (Spring 2013).
Are not Paul and Augustine and Dante and John of the Cross, Hildegard of Bingen, Teresa of Avila, and Thérèse of Lisieux—all participants in a grand tradition and an open-ended experiment—worthy of our study and of passing their knowledge and wisdom on to our students?

From what I have been able to ascertain, Georgetown University seems to have fared better, and still maintains what looks like a viable Catholic Studies program, though there is only one Catholic Studies course cross-listed with English, and that is a semester-long study of Eliot’s The Waste Land. There are, in addition, two other courses in the Literary Imagination: one on Shusaku Endo, offered by the Department of Japanese Studies, and a course in the philosophy department on Dante and the Christian Imagination. The University of St. Thomas in Houston has offered a Lenten series on the Catholic Imagination that looks very promising. This year’s lectures, for example, included Thomas More’s Utopia, Annie Dillard’s Holy the Firm, and John Henry Newman’s Cor ad cor loquitur (“Heart Speaks to Heart”), along with the Conversion of the Imagination, Catholic Anthropology and Ethics in Tolkien’s Middle-earth, the Vita Contemplativa of St. Teresa of Avila and St. John of the Cross, and—finally—G.K. Chesterton and the Tower of Babel.

The question, then, seems to be this: Are not Paul and Augustine and Dante and John of the Cross, Hildegard of Bingen, Teresa of Avila, and Thérèse of Lisieux—all participants in a grand tradition and an open-ended experiment—worthy of our study and of passing their knowledge and wisdom on to our students? Add to this mix Chaucer and Shakespeare, St. Robert Southwell, Richard Crashaw, Cervantes and Herbert and Hopkins, T. S. Eliot and David Jones and Charles Péguy, Chesterton and Graham Greene and Flannery O’Connor, along with Bach and Palestrina, DaVinci, Michelangelo, and Caravaggio. Then consider the multitude of writers from Hardy and Yeats and Pound and Hart Crane and Williams and Faulkner and Hemingway on to Willa Cather and William Kennedy and Cormac McCarthy, Kazantzakis, Tolstoy, Dostoevsky, Sartre, Camus, Simon Weil, and so many others who have borrowed from or argued for or against this tradition in their own work. Looked at in this light, is this not a great treasure trove that, if not honored, has at least been ransacked, the stained glass smashed and the great murals ripped from their walls and only the shards left behind? But what bright shards they are!

Perhaps it is time once again to examine our role in presenting the beauty and electric force of the very tradition which has served as the foundation of what we profess to teach. Perhaps it is time not only to consider the question of social justice, which would surely have a strong following, but to consider as well the question of beauty, the very splendors of nature seen through a sacramental lens: the beauty which Péguy and Rilke and Hopkins and David Jones and W. H. Auden and Flannery O’Connor and T. S. Eliot and Thomas Merton and Hans Urs von Balthasar, among others, have shown us.

Consider this poem by Hopkins. A curtal sonnet, a sonnet distilled from its normal form of fourteen lines to ten and a half lines, a complete microcosm, a prayer, really, which looks hard at the world and then gives beauty back to God. “Glory be to God for dappled things—,” the poem begins, and then shows us what a dappled world looks like.

Glory be to God for dappled things—
For skies of couple-colour as a brinded cow;
For rose-moles all in stipple upon trout that swim;
Fresh-firecoal chestnut-falls; finches’ wings;
Landscape plotted and pieced—fold, fallow, and plough;
And all trades, their gear and tackle and trim.

All things counter, original, spare, strange;
Whatever is fickle, freckled (who knows how?)
With swift, slow, sweet, sour, adazzle, dim;
He fathers-forth whose beauty is past change:
Praise him.

And what if? What if we could find a place on our Catholic campuses to offer that praise even as the Eucharist is offered up each day in our campus chapels? A single place, a room, where we could come together to study such poems and narratives, where it would be possible to consider not only the Good Fridays of our existence, but the Eastering at the heart of our long tradition as well?

In the years since I’ve been at Boston College, I have brought in aspects of the Catholic Literary Imagination for the simple reason that those images keep coming up again and again of their own accord. So, for example, if I teach Yeats’s “The Second Coming,” written in 1919 in the midst of the Irish Troubles, how can I avoid talking about the idea of Christ’s Second Coming, along with the images of the mystery of the Sphinx and the concept of the Jungian Spiritus Mundi and how Yeats has reworked that material here, where Christian teleology and hope give way to the idea of the unending desert-like eternal return?

Surely some revelation is at hand;
Surely the Second Coming is at hand.
The Second Coming! Hardly are those words out
When a vast image out of Spiritus Mundi
Troubles my sight: a waste of desert sand;
A shape with lion body and the head of a man,
A gaze blank and pitiless as the sun,
Is moving its slow thighs, while all about it
Wind shadows of the indignant desert birds.

The darkness drops again but now I know
That twenty centuries of stony sleep
Were vexed to nightmare by a rocking cradle,
And what rough beast, its hour come round at last,
Slouches towards Bethlehem to be born?

Or Kenneth Rexroth, trying to explain what he sees as so special about the doctor/
poet from the Passaic section of New Jersey, William Carlos Williams.

From “A Letter to William Carlos Williams”

Remember years ago, when
I told you you were the first
Great Franciscan poet since
The Middle Ages? I disturbed
The even tenor of dinner.
Your wife thought I was crazy.
It’s true, though. And you’re
“pure,” too,
A real classic, though not loud
About it . . .

It’s a wonderful quiet
You have, a way of keeping
Still about the world, and its
Dirty rivers, and garbage cans,
Red wheelbarrows glazed with rain,
Cold plums stolen from the icebox,
And Queen Anne’s lace, and day’s
eyes,
And leaf buds bursting over
Muddy roads, and splotched bellies
With babies in them . . .

Nowadays, when the press reaps
With chatterboxes, you keep still,
Each year a sheaf of stillness,
Poems that have nothing to say
. . . The day will come
When a young woman will walk
By the lucid Williams’ River,
Where it flows through an idyllic
News from Nowhere sort of landscape,
And she will say to her children,
“Isn’t it beautiful? It
Is named after a man who
Walked here once when it was called
The Passaic, and was filthy
With the poisonous excrements
Of sick men and factories.
He was a great man. He knew
It was beautiful then, although
Nobody else did, back there
In the Dark Ages. And the
Beautiful river he saw
Still flows in his veins, as it
Does in ours, and flows in our eyes,
And flows in time, and makes us
Part of it, and part of him.
That, children, is what is called
A sacramental relationship.
And that is what a poet
Is, children, one who creates
Sacramental relationships
That last always.”

It’s something of this deeper, sacramental understanding of our world which I’d love
to see brought back into our classroom on a regular basis. And with this in mind, I’ve
tried to create at least one course which I am relieved to report has filled up whenever
I’ve offered it, and I find myself trying to offer it now each year. It’s called God and the
Imagination, and it should be around at least for the next couple of years, when I hope
it or something like it will remain available for our students.

Here is a brief summary of the course as it’s now taught. It’s divided into three
parts, following the Spiritual Exercises and Dante’s Divine Comedy. First come the Hell
Variations, or the going down ever more deeply, where we focus on the opening and
closing cantos of the Inferno. Luca Signorelli’s images in the Cathedral at Orvieto pro-
vide vivid images of the end time and of the Anti-Christ, but so too do images of the
trench warfare—those Malebolges of Dante’s—and images of the Holocaust and the
massive bombings of population centers from Guernica up through Hiroshima and
beyond. Among the poems we look at are Wilfred Owen’s “Strange Meeting” and Isaac
Rosenberg’s “Break of Day in the Trenches,” as well as Anthony Hecht’s incredibly dark
poem “More Light! More Light!”

From there we look at Emily Dickinson’s “I heard a fly buzz when I died,” Thomas
Hardy’s “The Convergence of the Twain,” and Philip Larkin’s “Aubade,” journeys which
stare into the imagined Abyss. And from there we look at the post-apocalyptic journey
in Cormac McCarthy’s The Road, to see how a father tries to provide for his young son
even when all hope seems lost.

Then it’s on to Fr. Hopkins’s Sonnets of Desolation & Recovery: “Spelt from Sybil’s
Leaves,” “To seem the stranger,” “I wake and feel,” “No worst, there is none,” and
“Carrion Comfort” read in conjunction with Psalm 22, Christ’s cry from the cross. And
then it’s T. S. Eliot’s The Waste Land and the post–World War I landscape, as pockmarked
and bomb-blasted as the landscape of the soul in a time of travail. Dante’s salva oscura,
his dark woods.

Then, on the upslope of hope, it’s on to the Purgatorio, or the Long Journey of the
Pilgrim, focusing on six of Dante’s Cantos, then looking at Robert Lowell’s renderings
of Dante’s last-breath-penitent on the battlefield, as well as parts of Hopkins’s The Wreck
of the Deutschland and the cry of the nun in the midst of the another shipwreck. Then
it’s Marie Howe’s “The Star Market,” and the presence of Christ in the humblest of
places. Next comes William Kennedy’s Ironweed and the redemptive journey back home,
in this case occurring during the triduum of Halloween, All Saints, and All Souls in Depression-ridden Albany, 1938. We also look at Eliot’s aptly named purgatorial pieces, “Journey of the Magi” and “Ash Wednesday.”

Then it’s on to the journals of Thomas Merton from the late 1930s until his death by electrocution in the fall of 1968 while attending an international conference of monks in Bangkok. And then on to Merton’s contemporary, Flannery O’Connor, with such classic short stories as “A Good Man Is Hard to Find,” “The River,” “The Life You Save May Be Your Own,” “A Stroke of Good Fortune,” “The Artificial Nigger,” “Good Country People,” and ending with “The Displaced Person.” And from there we move on to John Berryman, with ten of his bleakest Dream Songs, in which he questions the ways of God and the ways of man, before we move to the humber, searching sequence he wrote while in recovery, his “Eleven Addresses to the Lord.”

Finally, it’s on to the Paradise, glimpses of heaven and the possibilities of transfigurative change. Here we look at Hopkins’s sonnets of celebration, including “God’s Grandeur;” “As kingfishers catch fire,” “The Windhover;” “Pied Beauty,” and “Hurrahing in Harvest,” and then look at Hopkins’s poems of spiritual renewal in “That Nature is a Heraclean Fire and the Comfort of the Resurrection” and the message of his last, very quiet poem, “To R. B.” Then it’s on to Eliot’s final Quartet: “Little Gidding,” a poem of profound hope composed in the wake of the German bombings of London in 1940, where, in spite of everything, he calls to mind The Cloud of Unknowing and Julian of Norwich’s mantra that “All shall be well, and all manner of things shall be well.”

Then on to four of Dante’s Paradiso Cantos with Beatrice and Mary and Benedict and the amassing of the whole harmonium in the glimpse of the human face at the center of God’s Love. Then on to the poetry of some contemporary American poets in the Catholic tradition, including Denise Levertov, Franz Wright, Scott Cairns, and Mary Karr, as well as poems by Robert Hayden, Lucille Clifton, Anne Sexton, Yeats, William Carlos Williams, and Wallace Stevens, that solitary agnostic whose journey led at the end to his conversion to the Church, and whose biography I am now in the midst (and mist) of writing.

Undoubtedly there are many other voices which might be included in such a course: Augustine’s Confessions, the Anglo-Saxon Beowulf, Langland, Caedmon, Chaucer, Chidiocchio Tichborn, Shakespeare, Catherine of Siena, the Metaphysical poets, including Donne and Herbert, then Dryden and Pope, Dostoevsky’s Crime and Punishment and The Brothers Karamazov, Ernest Dowson, Paul Claudel, Charles Péguy, Jacques Maritain, Georges Bernanos, Pascal’s Pensées, Joseph Conrad’s Heart of Darkness, Graham Greene’s The Power and the Glory, Walter Miller’s A Canticle for Leibowitz, Ron Hansen’s Mariette in Ecstasy and Exiles, Annie Dillard’s Pilgrim at Tinker Creek, Patricia Hamp’s Virgin Time, Alice McDermott’s Charming Billy, the novels of Endo Shusaku, Francis Thompson’s “The Hound of Heaven,” Christina Rossetti, Oscar Wilde’s The Ballad of Reading Gaol, David Jones, Les Murray, Geoffrey Hill, and Walker Percy.

God and the Imagination is something I’ve cobbled together as much out of a sense of what I owe the students and the administration of Boston College as it is a sense of frustration with myself and with the academic world in which I often find myself. What is troubling for me is that I see little in the way of a renewal of the role of the Catholic Literary Imagination except where the administration can address the question. And I have found that the administration here at Boston College does care, and is serious about its mission for renewal. Has it not, in fact, brought us together here to talk about recharging the charisms which have been part of our great Catholic tradition for centuries, and which may, if they are not safeguarded, be swept away with the tides and sands of time?

There is undoubtedly a rich heritage in the Catholic literary imagination, not only in the sense of a call for social justice—for that seems to be something that many of our professors and staff are aware of—but also in the sense of a particular understanding of the sacramental vision of the world. I mean the fact of the Incarnation and what that signifies for our understanding of beauty and peace and understanding in the world—that is, of how God suffuses the world and everything in it.

Or, as Flannery O’Connor says in “A Good Man Is Hard to Find,” that, as the family who will die that very day leaves their home in rural Georgia, and though only the narrator seems to notice, the trees themselves “were full of silver-white sunlight and the meanest of them sparkled.” And if the meanest of the trees sparkle, so too does the criminal called the Misfit reveal a sparkle of goodness before he kills the grandmother who has reached out to him, even in the midst of her agony recognizing him as one of her children.

“If you came this way,” T. S. Eliot tells us in the last of his Four Quartets,

Taking the route you would be likely to take
From the place you would be likely to come from . . .
If you came at night like a broken king,
If you came by day not knowing what you came for,
It would be the same, when you leave the rough road
And turn behind the pig-sty to the dull facade
And the tombstone. And what you thought you came for
Is only a shell, a husk of meaning
From which the purpose breaks only when it is fulfilled
If at all.

Or Hopkins, at the close of his sonnet “The Windhover,” watching the majestic hawk buckle and plunge to the earth, like the God/man Christ buckling on the cross in the final sacrifice of himself for others. Only then, Hopkins realizes, having made the Long Retreat,’ only then does it happen. For only in the breaking of oneself does the dying fire flame out like shining from shook foil, or the kingfisher catch fire, or the dragonfly draw flame:

3. This is the thirty-day retreat following the Spiritual Exercises of St. Ignatius Loyola, an exercise made by many thousands of individuals over the past half-century.
AND the fire that breaks from thee then, a billion
Times told lovelier, more dangerous, O my chevalier!
No wonder of it: sheér plód makes plough down sillion
Shine, and blue-bleak embers, ah my dear,
Fall, gall themselves, and gash gold-vermillion.

God’s Grandeur
The world is charged with the grandeur of God.
It will flame out, like shining from shook foil;
It gathers to a greatness, like the ooze of oil
Crushed. Why do men then know not reck his rod?
Generations have trod, have trod, have trod;
And all is scared with trade; bleared, smeared with toil;
And wears man’s smudge and shares man’s smell: the soil
Is bare now, nor can foot feel, being shod.

And for all this, nature is never spent;
There lives the dearest freshness deep down things;
And though the last lights off the black West went
Oh, morning, at the brown brink eastward, springs —
Because the Holy Ghost over the bent
World broods with warm breast and with ah! bright wings.

What the Catholic sacramental perspective teaches us is that the meanest thing car-
ries within it something of inestimable worth, an inscape which gives to that particular
thing a deeper value, which we are invited to discover and—when that value has been
discovered—to give back that beauty to God by praising the Creator. Saint Francis and
Saint Ignatius acknowledged as much, as did Hopkins and O’Connor and Walker Percy
and Ron Hansen and so many others.

Catholicism carries within it an incredibly rich tradition, one shaped by two thou-
sand years where the human imagination has been shaped by and in turn has helped
shape Christianity and—by extension—various cultures as we have come not only to
know the Mystery but to inhabit it as well. In truth it has informed, reformed, and trans-
formed what we read and see and understand about the entire spectrum of humanity. I
am reminded of Hopkins, that extraordinary poet who belongs to a great Jesuit tradition
of charisms freely and often unexpectedly given. If the world is charged with the gran-
der of God, so too is the Catholic imagination, with its emphasis on a God-saturated,
God-centered world with its long tradition of sacramental beauty.

There it is, then: the Catholic Literary Imagination, each individual contribution to it
like a tessera contributing to the larger edifice which has housed and still houses a rich sac-
ramentality, and where a living voice still speaks, and where the Word still dwells. For one of
the stunning gifts of that charism is that it continues to well up and give of itself endlessly.
“Così la mente mia, tutta sospesa,” Dante reports back to us in the final lines of the
Paradiso, after having gazed on the face of the Divine, “mirava fissa, immobile e attenta,/ e
sempre di mirar faceasi accesa.” Here it is, then, a glimpse into the Eternal which Dante
the pilgrim, after a lifetime’s searching, seems finally to have been granted:

So was my mind—completely rapt, intent,
steadfast, and motionless—gazing; and it
grew ever more enkindled as it watched.

Whoever sees that Light is soon made such
that it would be impossible for him
to set that Light aside for other sight. . . .

What little I recall is to be told,
from this point on, in words more weak than those
of one whose infant tongue still bathes at the breast. . . .

What he sees is the Three-in-One, the Godhead, the Trinity: three circles, each a
different prismatic color, but all partaking in the whiteness of the Eternal Godhead, and
which he now addresses:

Eternal Light, You only dwell within
Yourself, and only You know You; Self-knowing,
Self-known, You love and smile upon Yourself!

That circle—which, begotten so, appeared
in You as light reflected—when my eyes
had watched it with attention for some time,
within itself and colored like itself,
to me seemed painted with our effigy,
so that my sight was set on it completely. . . .

I wished to see
the way in which our human effigy
suited the circle and found place in it—

and my own wings were far too weak for that.
But then my mind was struck by light that flashed
and, with this light, received what it had asked.
Here force failed my high fantasy; but my
desire and will were moved already—like
a wheel revolving uniformly—by
the Love that moves the sun and the other stars.4

A lifetime’s searching by the Catholic/Christian imagination is to be found in these lines. Wouldn’t it be something to be able to offer that radiant tradition a place on the campuses of the very universities to which it has given its life and voice over the centuries? If its offspring and shoots have been dispersed all over the world now, isn’t it time that we returned to the matrix, to the heart of the matter, where that unique perspective on the world might be given a room of its own, in the company of its sisters in theology and philosophy and art and music and architecture and history and so many other areas of study?

In a time of cultural diversity, which we continue to welcome and host, might there not at least be a place at the table for the host where the charism of the Catholic sacramental literary imagination might be acknowledged, celebrated, and shared with others as part of the necessary, never-ending dialogue between the host and the multitude of guests welcomed at that table?
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